

CHIEF JUDGE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR20-019RSM
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
)	MOTION TO PROCEED WITH
PATRICIA HOLMBERG,)	REMOTE DISPOSITION HEARING
Defendant.)	

THE COURT has considered the unopposed motion to proceed with the disposition hearing by video hearing, along with all the records and files in this case and the General Orders currently in effect.

THE COURT FINDS that the circumstances are as set forth in the motion, and that a video disposition hearing may take place as soon as practical because further delays in this case would cause “serious harm to the interests of justice,” *see* General Order No. 04-20 (3/30/20), for the reasons set forth in the motion. Accordingly,

THE COURT ORDERS that the parties may proceed with a remote disposition hearing, consistent with current procedures established by this Court.

THE COURT also makes the following specific findings:

1. The Judicial Conference of the United States must find that the coronavirus emergency will materially affect the functioning of the federal courts generally or a particular court. CARES Act, § 15002(b)(2)(A). It has done so. See “Judiciary Authorizes Video/Audio

Access During COVID-19 Pandemic,” Administrative Office of the United States Courts (published March 31, 2020) (available at <https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2020/03/31/judiciary-authorizes-videoaudio-access-during-covid-19-pandemic>).

2. The chief district judge of the affected district must specifically find that “felony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure . . . cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety.” CARES Act, § 15002(b)(2)(A). The Chief Judge Martinez has done so. GO 04-20 (March 30, 2020).
3. The Court finds in this particular case that for specific reasons specified in the pleadings that the plea in this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice.” CARES Act, § 15002(b)(2)(A).
4. The defendant must consent. CARES Act, § 15002(b)(4); see also GO 04-20. Ms. Holmberg consents by way of filing.
5. For all practical purposes, the hearing may take place by videoconference, not telephone conference. Theoretically, a sentencing could be done by telephone conference if “video teleconferencing is not reasonably available.” CARES Act, § 15002(b)(2)(A). A videoconference hearing is reasonably available in this case and shall be held on June 18, 2021, at 9:30 a.m.

DONE this 14th day of June, 2021.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT
JUDGE

///

1 Presented by:

2
3 *s/ Christopher M. Sanders*

4 Assistant Federal Public Defender

5 Attorney for Patricia Holmberg